UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,781	04/20/2007	Heino Hameleers	P17248-US1	6589
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 02/25/200 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		BEHARRY, NOEL R		
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2446	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,781	HAMELEERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	NOEL BEHARRY	2446	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	· •		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed by the claim(s)	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	ີ່ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,21-23,25 and 26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over the entered because the affidavit or other evidence.			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but (see continuation sheet).</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jeffrey Pwu/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 11.

Applicant argues in substance that "The PRBT system of the Choe reference determines whether the calling party is a service subscriber. If the called party is a subscriber, the system provides a ringback message, edited by the called party, to the calling party (para [0028]). So both parties are subscribers to the service" Applicant further argues that in the present invention "A demand for multimedia information is included in subscriber data that is retrieved by the core network node. The demand is executed and multimedia information associated with the called party (or calling party) is then sent to the calling party terminal (various summany paragraphs, e.g., para. [0016]). As disclosed in the present invention, the "demand" limitation is part of the subscriber data (para. [0016]). The core network node reads the subscriber data and reacts to the demand by providing an address of the multimedia data associated with that called party that can be retrieved by terminals."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicants arguments. In Par. 0028-0029 of the Choe reference, Choe explicitly teaches when a calling party calls a called party the system determines if the called party is a service subscriber and if the called party is a service subscriber then the PRBT system accesses the Internet Data Center to retrieve the message settings based on the called subscriber's account information and provides the personalized ring back message to the calling party because the demand to provide a personalized ring back message is in the message settings of the called subscriber's account information. Further Applicant suggests that Par. 0028 of the Choe reference teaches that "both parties are subscribers to the service" but Examiner disagrees as Par. 0028 explicitly states that the called party may or may not be a service subscriber and it does not mention the calling party being a subscriber.